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Foreword

At the First International Congress on Postharvest Loss Prevention in Rome last year, we initiated a discussion to develop a road map to chart the pathways toward improving lives and livelihoods of a large number of smallholder farmers sustainably through postharvest loss reduction of key crops with minimal environmental impact. We targeted to focus the roadmap development within the scope of the following broader stages of the supply chain:

- Harvesting
- Drying & Storage
- Transportation
- Processing
- Retail

Postharvest losses can be reduced from each of the above supply chain stages by interventions that are defined as target intervention areas (TIA). The TIAs are Markets, Technology, Policy, and Education and Training. We had 5 breakout groups based on the five supply chain stages. Each group focused on of the supply chains and answered the following questions:

- What are the 3-5 major causes of postharvest losses in this stage?
- For the first most critical TIA, what are/could be the 3-5 best practices and/or most promising approaches?
- For the first most critical TIA and the approaches identified, what is needed to implement these solutions with significant impact?
- Based on answers to the above questions, by how much can postharvest losses be reduced by 2050 to improve the quality of lives and livelihoods of a large number of smallholder farmers?

Each group identified the major causes of postharvest losses in developing countries, ranked the TIAs (1 = most critical to 4 = least critical) and proposed best practices and/or most promising approaches for loss reduction solutions for key crops.

The groups then presented their finding to the entire Congress attendees, and based on feedback from entire audience, modified their findings. The final task of the group was to present % postharvest loss reduction for each supply chain stage. The following graph presents the consensus reached by all groups on postharvest loss reduction.

- Prasanta Kalita,
  Director, ADM Institute
  for the Prevention of Postharvest Loss
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Part 1

Building a Consensus Road Map for Reducing Global Postharvest Losses

1.1 Purpose: To develop a road map that charts the pathways towards improving lives and livelihood of a large number of smallholder farmers sustainably through a significant postharvest loss reduction of key crops with minimal environmental impact.

1.2. Supply Chain Stages: We target to focus the roadmap development within the scope of the following broader stages of the supply chain:

1.3. Targeted Intervention Areas: Postharvest losses can be reduced from each of the above supply chain stages by the following interventions that are defined as target intervention areas (TIA). The TIAs listed below are not in the order of priority nor are the listed examples exhaustive.

- **TIA #1 Markets:** Increased market value of commodities for smallholder farmers
  - Creating markets and linking farmers to the markets
  - Availability and access to marketing and pricing information
  - Quality expectations of end-users for better price

- **TIA #2: Technology:** Availability of affordable, environment-friendly technologies
  - Awareness about technologies and their use
  - Improve conventional technologies and their adaptability and adoption
  - Develop advanced level technologies

- **TIA #3: Policy:** Policies that enable effective implementation of the best postharvest loss reduction solutions for smallholder farmers
  - Reducing access barriers such as financing
  - Providing incentives and subsidies
- New policy and infrastructure development

- **TIA #4: Education and Training:** Knowledge sharing and capacity-building for overcoming barriers at all levels (individual, community, regional, national, international)
  - Awareness of problems, and potential solutions and impact
  - Human and institutional capacity building at all levels (for all stakeholders)
  - Implementing programs to empower women and self-help groups

### 1.4 Breakout Group Discussions

We had 5 Breakout groups based on the five supply chain stages. Each group focused on one stage of the supply chain. And answered the following questions

**Q. 1:** What are the 3-5 major causes of postharvest losses in this stage? For each cause, rank the “Target Intervention Areas (TIA)” according to their criticality, and lastly select the top two most critical TIAs. (Note: Ranking: 1-4 (1 being most Critical & 4 being least critical))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Causes of Losses in this Stage</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Markets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall first most critical TIA………..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall second most critical TIA……….</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q. 2a:** For the first most critical TIA, what are/could be the 3-5 best practices and/or most promising approaches?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Best Approaches or Solutions</th>
<th>Current Actors</th>
<th>Current Impact</th>
<th>Targeted Communities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Actors could be stakeholders (e.g., researchers, technology developers, entrepreneurs, policy makers) or specific person/organizations
- Impact – Social, Gender, Economic, Environmental
- Communities: Smallholder farmers, larger farmers, state, country

**Q. 2b:** For the first most critical TIA and the approaches identified in Q. 2a, what is needed to implement these solutions with significant impact?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIA Name:</th>
<th>Best Approaches or Solutions</th>
<th>What component is missing</th>
<th>Potential Actors</th>
<th>Potential Impact</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes:
• **Component**: technology, extension, funding, etc.
• **Timeline**: Short Term: 5 years; Medium Term: 10 years; Long Term: 10+ years

**Q. 3:** Repeat questions 2a and 2b for the 2\textsuperscript{nd} most critical TIA

**Q. 4:** Based on answers to the above questions, by how much can postharvest losses be reduced by 2050 to improve the quality of lives and livelihoods of a large number of smallholder farmers?

Provide target estimate of postharvest loss reduction by the following years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loss Reduction (%)</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Q. 5:** List 5-7 key areas or messages that were not discussed or addressed in this Congress but are needed to sustainably reduce postharvest losses with minimal environmental impact (For complete supply chain).
Outcomes from Breakout Group Discussion

2.1 Harvesting

Discussion from the breakout session on “Harvesting” has been summarized in sections “A-D” and observations by designated panel members from the harvesting and storage related presentations throughout the congress have been summarized in section “E”.

A. Major Cause of Losses:

- Lack of locally available technology
- Lack of know-how and education
- Lack of transportation
- Lack of knowledge about markets for crops and a transparent value chain
- Lack of equipment for harvesting, drying, storage and measurement of moisture and quality factors

B. Critical Interventions Causing Losses in Current Stage:

- Policy
- Markets

C. Best Approaches to Reduce Losses:

- Highlight early adopters stories Extension/Farmers field schools and transition to business schools and transition to business schools
- Train-the-trainers Demo plots and practices
- Pilot best practices Private sector involvement
- Future farmers training Cluster farming, e.g. as practices in the Philippines.
D. Target Loss Reductions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss Reduction (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. Designated Panel Summary:

*Major cause of losses in current stage*

- Machine not operated by owners, less efficient
- Combine operating conditions
- Infestation before harvest
- Manual shelling (maize)
- Poor grading of horticulture crops
- Improper harvesting time
- Delay between harvest and packing
- Inconsistency of regulations on aflatoxin
- Surplus production
- Poor handling (horticulture)
- Improper threshing
- Shattering losses
- Inadequate cleaning

*Interventions and approaches to reduce postharvest losses in current stage*

- Government Extension Agencies
- Increased Awareness
- Training on equipment operation
- Incentives
- Affordable moisture content measurement
- Accessibility to technology
- Government Policy to Encourage Adoption
- Threshers (rice)
- Local equipment manufacturing
- Harvest at appropriate time
• Use nontoxic fungal strains to compete with toxic strains
• Women-compatible tools
• Extension
2.2 Drying and Storage

Discussion from the breakout session on “Drying and Storage” has been summarized in sections “A-D” and observations by designated panel members from the drying and storage related presentations throughout the congress have been summarized in section “E”.

A. Major Cause of Losses:

- Moisture determination & regulations:
  - Technology:
    - Meters, calibration, reliability, accuracy
  - Policy:
    - Political vs market determining at what moisture content commodity is traded at (bought & sold)

- Storage facilities
  - Markets:
    - Demand for storage, buyer not rewarding quality, cost:benefit ratio, quality attributes, decentralized vs concentrated storage (farm vs community vs coop vs private vs government)
  - Technology:
    - Quantity, quality, cost, appropriateness for the farmer (gender considerations; ease of physical access such as heights; ease of use; practical, cultural context; reliability), transporting product, adaptability, lack of infrastructure
  - Policy:
    - Standard for the crop quality
  - Education:
    - Knowledge about facility management, awareness and accessibility of services for hire
• Timeliness drying
• Appropriateness and affordability of drying technology
• Access to information, then ability to use information
• Lack of experts with practical experience with the appropriate technology
• Access to Finance
• Lack of quality management system

B. Critical Interventions Causing Losses in Current Stage:
• Technology
• Infrastructure

C. Best Approaches to Reduce Losses:
• Technology:
  o Metric/measurement use/transparency of data
  o End users involved in development
  o Supply chain of technology manufacturers locally
  o Sustainability of tech management:
    ▪ Training, follow up, assessment, feedback, assessment of technology, unbiased estimates (gender)
• Infrastructure:
  o Impact pathway to follow through with assessment of impact
    ▪ Good agriculture extension need long term implementation time awareness
    ▪ Organization and development of all stakeholders
    ▪ Making information data accessible
  o Engagement of private sector-develop incentives
  o Access to financing-loans
  o Appropriate training throughout value chain

D. Target Loss Reductions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss Reduction (%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E. Designated Panel Summary:

Major cause of losses in current stage

- Aflatoxin (Maize)
- High moisture content (Maize)
- Insect infestation (grains)
- Lack of storage facilities

Interventions and approaches to reduce postharvest losses in current stage

- Government Extension Agencies
- Increased Awareness
- Mobile dryers
- Drying shelters
- Infrastructure
- Training of farmers
- Hermetic Storage Bags
- Incentives
- Affordable moisture content measurement
- Evaporative coolers (horticulture)
- Rapid mycotoxin detection tools
- Accessibility to technology
- Government Policy to Encourage Adoption
- Local equipment manufacturing
- Low cost batch dryers
- metallic silos
- Adequate fumigation
- Affordable sensors (temperature, moisture content, etc.)
- Bio-insecticides
- Efficient solar dryers
- Mobile storage
- Women-compatible tools
- In silo dryer
• ZeroFly bags
• Extension
• Animated Videos
• Training of storage managers
2.3 Transportation

Discussion from the breakout session on “Transportation” has been summarized in sections “A-D” and observations by designated panel members from the transportation related presentations throughout the congress have been summarized in section “E”.

A. Major Cause of Losses:
- Lack of infrastructure
- Materials handling

B. Critical Interventions Causing Losses in Current Stage:
- Research for local solutions
- Policy
- Proper transportation means

C. Best Approaches to Reduce Losses:
- Policy:
  - Government Investment to upgrade systems
    - Emphasize rural areas
    - Allocate sufficient budgets
  - Public-private partnership
    - Build better roads
    - Create win-win situations for technology development
- Proper transportation means:
  - Appropriate packaging
  - Refrigeration vehicle
- Research for local solutions:
- Low cost and environment friendly solutions
  - Develop effective aggregation systems
- Encouraging investment in research
  - Develop sound implementation plan
  - Create economic benefits and better values
- Packaging materials:
  - Training operators
    - Teach best practices
    - Provide live demonstrations
  - Local production of appropriate packaging materials
    - Create enabling environment

D. Target Loss Reductions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loss Reduction (%)</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

E. Designated Panel Summary:

**Major cause of losses in current stage**

- Non-refrigerated transportation (horticulture)
- Overfilling of shipping crates (horticulture)
- Long time for loading and loading (horticulture)
- Poor road conditions (horticulture)
- Improper packaging

**Interventions and approaches to reduce postharvest losses in current stage**

- Government Extension Agencies
- Increased Awareness
- Incentives
- Training on proper filling
- Cold Chain
- Accessibility to technology
- Government Policy to Encourage Adoption
- Training for loading and unloading
• Extension
• Animated videos
Discussion from the breakout session on “Processing” has been summarized in sections “A-D” and observations by designated panel members from the processing related presentations throughout the congress have been summarized in section “E”

A. Major Cause of Losses:
- Access to Sustainable Market, Credit facility, Technology, Information
- Affordable technology and its components
- Awareness
- Commodity specific approach
- Innovations

B. Critical Interventions Causing Losses in Current Stage:
- Technology
- Policy

C. Best Approaches to Reduce Losses:
- Policy:
  - Sustainable Market
    - Actors: Entrepreneurs
    - Target communities: farmers
    - Missing component: Market availability, extension
  - Quality of products
    - Actors: Producers
    - Target communities: farmers
    - Missing component: Standardization of Quality, Quality Maintenance
- Identifying Creditors
  - Target communities: Smallholder farmers, larger farmers
  - Missing Component: Credit facilities
- Policy making and Enforcement
  - Actors: Policy makers
  - Missing component: Appropriate policies for sustainable development
- Public Private Partnerships
  - Actors: Policy makers, Entrepreneurs
- Technology:
  - Transfer of Technology
    - Actors: Extension workers
    - Missing component: Extension
    - Potential actors: Public and Private sectors, Extension workers
  - Indigenous knowledge
    - Actors: Farmers
    - Missing component: Compilation, Documentation
    - Potential actors: Researchers, Extension workers
  - Innovation
    - Actors: Technology developers
    - Missing component: Funding
    - Potential actors: Technology developers, Funding Agencies
  - Repair existing machinery
    - Missing component: Access
    - Potential actors: Entrepreneurs, Technology developers
- Business Models
  - Actors: Entrepreneurs

### D. Target Loss Reductions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loss Reduction (%)</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E. Designated Panel Summary:

**Major cause of losses in current stage**

- Broken grains
- Spillage in traditional milling

**Interventions and approaches to reduce postharvest losses in current stage**

- Government Extension Agencies
- Increased Awareness
- Incentives
- Accessibility to technology
- On-farm primary processing
- Government Policy to Encourage Adoption
- Local equipment manufacturing
- Women leadership development in local food processing
- Women-compatible tools
- Animated videos
Discussion from the breakout session on “Retail” has been summarized in sections “A-D” and observations by designated panel members from the retail related presentations throughout the congress have been summarized in section “E”.

A. Major Cause of Losses:
- Lack of education: training of employees, consumers
- Mechanical injuries: fruits & vegetables
- Seasonal fluctuation in availability

B. Critical Interventions Causing Losses in Current Stage:
- Cold storage/containers
- Policy
- Education & training

C. Best Approaches to Reduce Losses:
- Improved access to cold storage
- Packaging
- Talk to policy makers & express the value of losses
- Increase awareness

D. Target Loss Reductions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loss Reduction (%)</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E. Designated Panel Summary:

Major cause of losses in current stage

- Inconsistency of demand (horticulture)
- Cheap imports (horticulture)
- Lack of market

Interventions and approaches to reduce postharvest losses in current stage

- Government Extension Agencies
- Increased Awareness
- Incentives
- Access to finance
- Market Linkages
- Accessibility to technology
- Government Policy to Encourage Adoption
- Animated videos
Part 3

Draft Roadmap for Global Postharvest Reduction

Group process: Consider one of the five general links of the food supply chain (FSC) and the four Targeted Intervention Areas (TIAs) of Markets, Technology/Infrastructure, Policy, and Education/Training, then identify the major causes of postharvest losses in developing countries, rank the TIAs (1 = most critical to 4 = least critical) and propose best practices and/or most promising approaches for loss reduction solutions for key crops.

3.1 Summary: Consensus reporting and expert validation of food loss reduction targets by FSC step for key crops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 Steps of the FSC</th>
<th>HARVESTING</th>
<th>STORAGE</th>
<th>TRANSPORTATION</th>
<th>PROCESSING</th>
<th>RETAIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSC step includes activities such as:</td>
<td>Harvest timing, methods, tools and containers</td>
<td>Preparation and storage, on-farm handling practices, sorting, drying (cereals/ pulses) , or cooling (fresh produce)</td>
<td>Vehicle loading, stacking, container types, travel, delays and unloading</td>
<td>Transformation of raw crops to more stable forms, value addition via drying, canning, grinding, cooking, packaging, etc.</td>
<td>Display, temporary storage, resorting, cleaning/trimming, sales to final consumer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major causes of losses (based on individual experiences, posters and conference presentations)</td>
<td>Lack of knowledge on proper harvesting practices</td>
<td>Lack of tools (moisture meters), appropriate equipment</td>
<td>Lack of transport options at the farm level</td>
<td>Lack of access to sustainable markets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of locally available technology, tools, equipment</td>
<td>Lack of knowledge on appropriate handling, drying or cooling practices and storage management</td>
<td>Lack of transport infrastructure, loading docks, shade, cold chain (fresh produce)</td>
<td>Lack of appropriate processing technologies and innovations for smallholders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rough handling, overloaded containers</td>
<td>Lack of awareness, information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rough handling (damage to fresh produce, mechanical injuries), lack of shade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of awareness, knowledge of sellers, consumers on proper handling,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Steps of the FSC</td>
<td>HARVESTING</td>
<td>STORAGE</td>
<td>TRANSPORTATION</td>
<td>PROCESSING</td>
<td>RETAIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough handling, damage and spillage in the field</td>
<td>Lack of storage infrastructure</td>
<td>and vehicles, damage and spillage</td>
<td>Lack of access to credit for making needed investments</td>
<td>Sanitation and marketing practices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough handling, damage and spillage in the field</td>
<td>Aflatoxins, insect pests, high moisture content (cereals/pulses), rough handling and damage (fresh produce)</td>
<td>Breakage, spillage</td>
<td>Seasonal fluctuations in supply (gluts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough handling, damage and spillage in the field</td>
<td>Aflatoxins, insect pests, high moisture content (cereals/pulses), rough handling and damage (fresh produce)</td>
<td>Breakage, spillage</td>
<td>Competition from imports (fresh produce)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top 2 ranked TIAs for the FSC step</th>
<th>Education &amp; Training</th>
<th>Technology &amp; Infrastructure</th>
<th>Education/Training</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education &amp; Training Policy</td>
<td>Education/Training</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Education/Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education &amp; Training Policy</td>
<td>Education/Training</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Education/Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Best practices or promising approaches</th>
<th>Demonstrations on the farms</th>
<th>Local development and manufacture of technologies</th>
<th>Improved containers (stackable, protective) and vehicles (refrigerated for fresh produce)</th>
<th>Creating sustainable markets via improved extension, credit access, market information, quality standards, public-private partnerships</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Train the trainers</td>
<td>Including women, FSC actors in assessment, training &amp; evaluation of technologies</td>
<td>Improved roads (reduce delays and mechanical damage)</td>
<td>Repairs of existing equipment, improved maintenance, access to needed tools and spare parts</td>
<td>Increase awareness of policy makers and consumers regarding high levels of food losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private sector involvement – encourage business development</td>
<td>Provide appropriate training and extension</td>
<td>Training on improved handling, packing, loading and transport practices</td>
<td>Improve access to shade, display tables, cool/cold storage and innovative packaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster farming</td>
<td>Local development and manufacture of technologies</td>
<td>Improved containers (stackable, protective) and vehicles (refrigerated for fresh produce)</td>
<td>Repairs of existing equipment, improved maintenance, access to needed tools and spare parts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote quality standards based on nutrition rather than only</td>
<td>Improved containers (stackable, protective) and vehicles (refrigerated for fresh produce)</td>
<td>Repairs of existing equipment, improved maintenance, access to needed tools and spare parts</td>
<td>Improve access to shade, display tables, cool/cold storage and innovative packaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Best practices or promising approaches</th>
<th>Demonstrations on the farms</th>
<th>Local development and manufacture of technologies</th>
<th>Improved containers (stackable, protective) and vehicles (refrigerated for fresh produce)</th>
<th>Creating sustainable markets via improved extension, credit access, market information, quality standards, public-private partnerships</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Train the trainers</td>
<td>Including women, FSC actors in assessment, training &amp; evaluation of technologies</td>
<td>Improved roads (reduce delays and mechanical damage)</td>
<td>Repairs of existing equipment, improved maintenance, access to needed tools and spare parts</td>
<td>Increase awareness of policy makers and consumers regarding high levels of food losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private sector involvement – encourage business development</td>
<td>Provide appropriate training and extension</td>
<td>Training on improved handling, packing, loading and transport practices</td>
<td>Improve access to shade, display tables, cool/cold storage and innovative packaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster farming</td>
<td>Local development and manufacture of technologies</td>
<td>Improved containers (stackable, protective) and vehicles (refrigerated for fresh produce)</td>
<td>Repairs of existing equipment, improved maintenance, access to needed tools and spare parts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote quality standards based on nutrition rather than only</td>
<td>Improved containers (stackable, protective) and vehicles (refrigerated for fresh produce)</td>
<td>Repairs of existing equipment, improved maintenance, access to needed tools and spare parts</td>
<td>Improve access to shade, display tables, cool/cold storage and innovative packaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Steps of the FSC</td>
<td>HARVESTING</td>
<td>STORAGE</td>
<td>TRANSPORTATION</td>
<td>PROCESSING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>appearance, color or size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>indigenous knowledge sharing, training on processing innovations and business models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loss reduction estimates</strong></td>
<td>Current harvest losses: 5 to 10% (cereals/pulses) 20 to 30% (fresh produce)</td>
<td>Current storage losses: 10 to 20% (cereals/pulses) 20 to 30% (fresh produce)</td>
<td>Current transport losses: 2 to 5% (cereals/pulses) 5 to 10% (fresh produce)</td>
<td>Current processing losses: 5 to 10% (cereals/pulses) 10 to 20% (fresh produce)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.2 Target Postharvest Loss Reduction by 2050
## Recommendations

### 4.1 Best practices or approaches for the HARVESTING step

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations for FSC actors and target audience(s)</th>
<th>What is missing?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Markets</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation of farmer groups, associations or cooperatives to access new markets</td>
<td>Links to non-traditional markets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adequate knowledge of market requirements in terms of crop variety, quality, food safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technology</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of harvest indices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of mechanized harvesting methods when appropriate (cereals and pulses)</td>
<td>Appropriate, low cost harvesting equipment and tools such as moisture meters, color charts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of plastic buckets and plastic crates on the farm (fresh produce)</td>
<td>Systems for repair, cleaning and sharing harvesting equipment, tools, plastic crates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private sector involvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster farming e.g. as practices in the Philippines</td>
<td>Incentives for adoption of improved practices or technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regulations (food safety, aflatoxin control)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Societal awareness of losses due to rejection of foods at harvest due to quality standards based on appearance or size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education &amp; Training</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highlight early adopters stories</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train-the-trainers</td>
<td>Strong, well trained extension / advisory services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demo plots and practices</td>
<td>Funding for capacity building of trainers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot best practices for farmers</td>
<td>Funding for outreach/extension work on harvesting practices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.2 Best practices or approaches for the DRYING & STORAGE step

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markets</th>
<th>Recommendations for FSC actors and target audience(s)</th>
<th>What is missing?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved quality management systems to meet market demands</td>
<td>Involvement of private sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>Improved equipment for smallholders (threshing, drying, cooling, etc) such as mobile dryers, low cost batch dryers, hermetic storage bags, metal silos, evaporative coolers. Appropriate storage infrastructure</td>
<td>Appropriate, low cost equipment and tools such as moisture meters, threshers, dryers, cool rooms, rapid mycotoxin detection tools, bio-insecticides, women-compatible tools Systems for repair, cleaning and sharing equipment, tools, storage facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Use of standardized metrics/measurements and improved use/transparency of data</td>
<td>Incentives for adoption of improved practices or technologies Regulations (food safety, aflatoxin control)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education &amp; Training</td>
<td>Appropriate training of farmers, storage managers, and FSC actors Extension of best storage practices (increased awareness) Use of animated videos</td>
<td>Agriculture extension needs long term implementation time and improved postharvest awareness Strong, well trained extension / advisory services Funding for capacity building of trainers Funding for outreach/extension work on storage practices and management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markets</td>
<td>Develop effective aggregation systems</td>
<td>Shared modernized transport hubs, adequate marketplace access for vehicles, parking, paved and well maintained to avoid weather damage/mud/potholes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>Improved transport infrastructure (for loading, unloading, vehicles of all sizes/types for improved food transport)</td>
<td>Appropriate transport vehicles, loading docks, shaded loading and parking/waiting areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Improved roads (smooth to reduce postharvest damage, paved to prevent rain related damage, wide enough for safety)</td>
<td>Improved containers and packages (low cost, protective)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Improved containers and packages to protect foods during transport</td>
<td>Funding for farm to market roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Improved containers and packages to protect foods during transport</td>
<td>Government incentives for private investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Improved containers and packages to protect foods during transport</td>
<td>Regulations on the design and use of improved containers (size, type, quality)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education &amp; Training</td>
<td>Training on improved postharvest handling practices, proper loading/unloading</td>
<td>Strong, well trained extension / advisory services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education &amp; Training</td>
<td>Training on improved containers, packages, teach best transport practices, vehicle management and maintenance</td>
<td>Funding for capacity building of trainers on food transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education &amp; Training</td>
<td>Animated videos on loading/unloading best practices</td>
<td>Funding for outreach/extension work on transport practices and management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.4 Best practices or approaches for the PROCESSING step

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markets</th>
<th>Recommendations for FSC actors and target audience(s)</th>
<th>What is missing?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved quality management systems to meet market demands</td>
<td>Involvement of private sector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology &amp; Infrastructure</th>
<th>Improved equipment for smallholders (milling, drying, canning, juicing, etc)</th>
<th>Appropriate, low cost equipment and tools, women-compatible tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appropriate processing facilities (products meeting consumer demands, size, scale, cost effectiveness)</td>
<td>Systems for repair, cleaning and sharing equipment, tools, processing facilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Recommendations for FSC actors and target audience(s)</th>
<th>What is missing?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promote on-farm primary processing</td>
<td>Incentives for investments in tools, equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promote local equipment manufacturing</td>
<td>Funding/Support of training of farmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Awareness, training of manufacturers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education &amp; Training</th>
<th>Recommendations for FSC actors and target audience(s)</th>
<th>What is missing?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training on improved postharvest handling practices, proper milling, processing methods</td>
<td>Strong, well trained extension / advisory services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training on improved handling, packages, teach best processing practices, equipment maintenance / repairs</td>
<td>Funding for capacity building of trainers on food processing, especially for women and small and medium scale enterprises (SMEs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Animated videos on food processing</td>
<td>Funding for outreach/extension work on processing practices and management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.5 Best practices or approaches for the RETAIL MARKETING step

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Recommendations for FSC actors and target audience(s)</th>
<th>What is missing?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Markets</td>
<td>Formation of farmer groups, associations or cooperatives to access new markets</td>
<td>Links to non-traditional markets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adequate knowledge of market requirements in terms of crop variety, quality, food safety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>Improved packaging materials (all foods)</td>
<td>Incentives for adoption of improved handling practices, packaging or cold chain technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access to cooling, cool storage and/or cold storage (fresh produce)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Promote private sector involvement</td>
<td>Incentives for adoption of improved handling practices, packaging or cold chain technologies, improved access to credit for making the needed investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regulations (food safety, aflatoxin control)</td>
<td>Societal awareness of losses due to rejection of foods at harvest due to quality standards based on appearance or size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education &amp; Training</td>
<td>Training of producers, traders, retail workers, consumers in food loss reduction</td>
<td>Local awareness of the importance of training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Funding for training programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix

Major Themes/topics Discussed during the Conference
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Day 2: